

# Hypothetical Development: Partial On-Site Production and Partial In-Lieu Fee Payment



## **PARTIAL ON-SITE PRODUCTION, AND PARTIAL IN-LIEU FEE PAYMENT**

---

1. Some developers may find that moderate income units are feasible to build on site, but low- and very low-income units are infeasible.
2. Rather than requiring either full on-site unit production, or full in-lieu fee payment, the City could offer Developers the option of partial on-site unit production and partial in-lieu fee payment.
3. Developer builds moderate-income units on site
4. Developer pays in-lieu fee proportional to required low- and very-low unit production.

(Examples on following slides)



## PARTIAL ON-SITE PRODUCTION: SINGLE FAMILY

Fee based on 10% production requirement and weighted by RHNA obligations	Hypothetical Development: 100 Single Family Homes
<b>1. Inclusionary Requirement for 100 Units @ 12.5% (1)</b> (Rounded)	4 Moderate-income (100 x 12.5% x 30.0%) 4 Low-income (100 x 12.5% x 28.5%) 5 Very Low-income (100 x 12.5% x 41.5%)
<b>2. Cost to Developer (2)</b>	(\$364,000) per unit
<b>3. Sales Revenue (3)</b>	Moderate: \$364,000 per unit Low: \$144,000 per unit Very Low: \$68,000 per unit
<b>4. Feasibility Surplus/(Gap)</b>	Moderate: \$0 Low: (\$220,000) Very Low: (\$296,000)
<p>(1) Weighted according to RHNA obligations (41.5% very low, 28.5% low, and 30.0% moderate) (2) Hypothetical development cost inclusive of of land, construction costs, soft costs, contingencies, reserves, and developer profit, equivalent to the moderate-income unit value (3) Based on 2022 Affordable Owner Cost Limits for Merced County</p>	



## PARTIAL ON-SITE PRODUCTION: SINGLE FAMILY

Fee based on 10% production requirement and weighted by RHNA obligations	Hypothetical Development: 100 Single Family Homes	
5. Maximum Supportable Fee @ 10%	\$18,500	
6. Fee by Income Level (1) (Rounded to nearest 100)	Moderate: \$5,500 Low: \$5,300 Very Low: \$7,700	
7. In-lieu Fee by Affordability Level (2)	Very Low	Low
	\$7,700/unit	\$5,300/unit
	\$770,000	\$530,000
8. Total Production and Fee	4 Moderate-income units \$1,300,000	
(1) Weighted according to RHNA obligations (41.5% very low, 28.5% low, and 30.0% moderate) (2) Total Number of Units in Development x Fee by Income Level		



## PARTIAL ON-SITE PRODUCTION: MULTIFAMILY

Fee based on 10% production requirement and weighted by RHNA obligations	Hypothetical Development: 100 Multifamily Units
<b>1. Inclusionary Requirement for 100 Units at 12.5% (1)</b> (Rounded)	4 Moderate-income (100 x 12.5% x 30.0%) 4 Low-income units (100 x 12.5% x 28.5%) 5 Very Low-income units (100 x 12.5% x 41.5%)
<b>2. Cost to Developer (2)</b>	(\$337,000) per unit
<b>3. Capitalized Unit Value (3)</b>	Moderate: \$198,000 per unit Low: \$52,000 per unit Very Low: \$23,000 per unit
<b>4. Feasibility Surplus/(Gap)</b>	Moderate: \$134,000 Low: \$285,000 Very Low: \$315,000
<p>(1) Weighted according to RHNA obligations (41.5% very low, 28.5% low, and 30.0% moderate)</p> <p>(2) Hypothetical development cost inclusive of of land, construction costs, soft costs, contingencies, reserves, and developer profit, equivalent to the moderate-income unit value</p> <p>(3) Based on 2022 Affordable Rental Housing Cost Limits for Merced County</p>	



## PARTIAL ON-SITE PRODUCTION: MULTIFAMILY

Fee based on 10% production requirement and weighted by RHNA obligations	Hypothetical Development: 100 Multifamily Units	
5. Maximum Supportable Fee @ 10%	\$25,400	
6. Fee by Income Level (1) (Rounded to nearest \$100)	Moderate: \$7,700 Low: \$7,200 Very Low: \$10,500	
7. In-Lieu Fee by Affordability Level (2)	Very Low	Low
	\$10,500/unit	\$7,200/unit
	\$1,050,000	\$720,000
8. Total Production and Fee	4 Moderate-income units \$1,770,000	
(1) Hypothetical development cost inclusive of of land, construction costs, soft costs, contingencies, reserves, and developer profit, equivalent to the moderate-income unit value		
(2) Weighted according to RHNA obligations (41.5% very low, 28.5% low, and 30.0% moderate)		



## **ANNEXATION AREAS**

---

- **One property sold in the annexation areas in the last five years: 37.4-acre Baxter Ranch sold in October 2021 for \$1.8 million (\$46,800 per acre)**
- **Within the City:**
  - Land costs ranged from \$100,000 to \$1.3 million per acre
  - Median \$297,000 per acre
- **Outside the City's corporate limits, but within the City's sphere of influence:**
  - Land costs ranged from \$28,000 to \$450,000 per acre
  - Median \$55,000 per acre



# SUPPORTABLE AND FEASIBLE IN-LIEU FEES FOR THE CITY AND ANNEXATION AREAS

	Based on Land Values within the <u>City</u>		Based on <u>Unincorporated</u> Land Values (1)	
	Maximum Supportable (10% Production Req)	Maximum Feasible	Maximum Supportable (10% Production Req)	Maximum Feasible
<b>Single Family Residential</b>	\$18,500 per unit \$11 per sf	\$15,200 per unit \$9 per sf	\$16,600 per unit \$10 per sf	\$43,400 per unit \$26 per sf
<b>Med Density Multifamily</b>	\$25,400 per unit \$28 per sf	\$23,000 per unit \$25 per sf	\$24,600 per unit \$27 per sf	\$33,200 per unit \$37 per sf

(1) Feasibility may be further impacted by higher entitlement and infrastructure costs in annexation areas.





# Alternatives

- Waive inclusionary requirements within the City limits
- Adjust fee based on area (North, South, AB3312)
- Waive or Reduce fee for In-Fill Development
- Policy only applies to new annexation
- Allow developers to split the requirement between building part affordable and paying in-lieu of building affordable housing