

**UC Merced Annexation  
Comparison of Environmental Consultants (8/25/21)**

	<b>ASCENT</b>	<b>First Carbon</b>	<b>LSA</b>	<b>Precision</b>
<b>Project Information:</b>				
Project Manager	Mike Parker & Jessica Babcock	Glenn Lajoie	Kyle Simpson	Bonique Emerson
Other Team Members	Gary Jakobs (CEQA); Kevin Colin (LAFCO); Kirsten Burrowes (Env); Zach Miller (Traffic); Alta Cunningham (Cultural); Dimitri Antoniou (AQ/Noise); Tammie Beyerl (Nat Res);	Phil Ault (Noise/AQ); Tsui Li (CEQA); Karlee McCracken (GIS); Mary Bean (CEQA);	Theresa Wallace (Principal); Matthew Wiswell (CEQA); Ambarish Mukherjee (Traffic)	Jenna Chilingirian (CEQA); Shin Tu (CEQA); Peter Cohrs (Surveyor/LAFCO)
Sub-Consultants	Kelly Taber (Legal-Somach Simmons & Dunn)	Megan Starr (Legal); Bryan Wenter (Legal)--Miller Starr Regalia	Linda Klein (Legal-Cox Castle-Nicholson)	John Kinsey (Legal-Wanger Jones Helsley); Georgiana Vivian (VRPA-Traffic); Erik Reuhr (VRPA; & Jason Ellard (VRPA)
Prior Similar Projects	Sacramento Co; Grass Valley	Tracy; San Ramon; Davis	Bay Area; UC Riverside & Irvine	
Local Projects	UCM Gas to Energy; City WWMP EIR Peer Review;	Merced Gateways EIR; Peer Review Police Station GPA;	Merced Mall Expansion MND; UC Merced Env Services; Merced Co Env Services	Atwater; Clovis; Fresno; Madera
CEQA Approach	Addendum to GP EIR	Initial Study/Mit Neg Dec	Addendum to GP EIR	Addendum to UC LRDP EIR
Schedule	36 Weeks	Oct 2021-Aug 2022	5 Months	Sept 2021-Oct 2022
Cost	\$44,180 + \$8,360 (LAFCO)	\$158,495 (Includes \$62,920 for LAFCO & \$20,500 Public Mtg)	\$74,350 (Includes \$15,000 for Legal)	\$62,100 (Includes \$12,000_Legal; \$10,000-VRPA; & \$6200-LAFCO)
<b>Average Scores:</b>				
Completeness of Proposal	4.33	3.33	4.00	3.33
Public Outreach	3.00	2.67	3.00	3.33
Understanding of Issues	4.33	3.33	4.33	4.00
CEQA Approach	5.00	2.00	4.33	4.00
Experience w/ Similar Projects	4.33	3.33	3.67	2.67
Scope of Services Offered	4.67	3.00	3.67	3.67
Personnel	5.00	3.33	3.67	3.00
Oral Interview	4.67	2.33	3.33	4.00
Local/City Experience	5.00	3.33	4.33	3.33
References	4.67	3.00	4.00	3.00
Cost Effectiveness/Budget	5.00	1.33	4.00	4.00
Extra Services Proposed	3.67	2.67	3.00	4.00
<b>TOTAL AVERAGE SCORE</b>	<b>53.67</b>	<b>33.67</b>	<b>45.33</b>	<b>42.33</b>
<b>RANKING</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>

<b>Ratings Scale:</b> 5 = Excellent	4 = Good	3 = Average	2 = Below Average	1 = Poor
-------------------------------------	----------	-------------	-------------------	----------