To:	Office of Planning and P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, CA 95812 County Clerk		From: (Public	c Agency) City of Merced 678 West 18th St. Merced, CA 95340
	County of Merced 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340			
Project Title:	Conditional U	se Permit #1273		
Project Appli	cant: Merced Holdi	ngs, LP		
Project Locat	ion (Specific): 2272 H	E Yosemite Ave	APN: 008-52	20-020
Project Locat	ion - City: Merced	Proje	ct Location - C	County: Merced
Description o	f Nature, Purpose, ar	nd Beneficiaries of P	roject:	
Name of Pub	lic Agency Approving	g Project: City of	of Merced	
Name of Pers	on or Agency Carryi	ng Out Project: Raj	Joshi for Merce	ed Holdings, LP
De En _ <u>X</u> Ca Sta	nisterial (Sec. 21080(b)) clared Emergency (Sec. hergency Project (Sec. 21 tegorical Exemption. State tutory Exemptions. State eneral Rule (Sec. 15061)	21080(b)(3); 15269(a)) 1080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c) ate Type and Section Note the Code Number:); umber: <u>Section</u>	
project consist	ts of minor interior an	d exterior alterations	only, such as p	ed Section, the proposed artitions, electrical, and ines per Section 15301
	also a second-tire revi n Mitigated Negative I			that the project remains Jse Permit #1238.
Lead Agency Contact Perso	<u> </u>	d <u>Associate Planner</u>	Area Code/T	Celephone: (209) 385-6858
Signature:	Lead Agency	Date: <u>5/30/2</u>	<u>3</u> Title: <u>Seni</u>	or Planner
X Signed by	Lead Agency	Date Received for Filir (If applicable)	ng at OPR:	

Authority Cited: Sections 21083 and 21110. Public Resources Code Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1. Public Resources Code

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

Application: CUP #1273 – Environmental Review #23-20

Assessor Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 008-520-020

Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference: This site was previously reviewed through Environmental Review #19-37 – Mitigated Negative Declaration (Initial Study #19-37 for CUP #1238).

Original Project Date: Initial Study #19-37 was approved on September 21, 2020, by the Merced City Council.

Section A - Previous Studies

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: The proposed project is consistent with the previous environmental review. The previous Conditional Use Permit anticipated restaurants within this development. The proposal includes a restaurant with alcohol which is allowed in the Neighborhood Commercial (C-N) zone with Conditional Use Permit approval. The addition of alcohol sales to a restaurant would create any new impacts not already considered by Initial Study #19-37.

2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X
	X

Comment/Finding: There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the Mitigated Negative Declaration. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects, and the area under consideration remains the same area previously evaluated.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been know with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings Page 2

Section B - New Information

	Yes	No
A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration.		X
	Yes	No
B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR.		X
	Yes	No
C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.		_ <u>X</u>
	Yes	No
D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from		X
those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.		

Comment/Finding: All previously identified mitigation measures will be enforced with this project including payment of Public Facility Impact Fees. Therefore, the resulting impacts are no greater than those previously analyzed and the previously imposed mitigation measures remain sufficient to address all impacts from this project.

On the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines:

	1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
	2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
	3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
X	4. No further documentation is required.

Date: May 30, 2023 Prepared By:

Julie Nelson, Senior Planner