

Site Study and Recommendations



SITE CRITERIA — Part One

The following is a list of basic requirements for the selection of a site:

Building

- 1. As determined by the needs assessment a two story building of 47,553 square feet.
- 2. Police stations reviewed, built within the last 10 years, of similar size were two stories.
- 3. A one story building or three story building would be less efficient.
- 4. Parking under the building would be cost prohibitive.

The footprint of the building would be approximately 23,776 square feet.

• Parking - Note: all parking would include drive isles, landscape areas, and backup space

- 1. 12 Public Parking spaces @ 339 square feet per space = 4,068 square feet.
- 2. 90 City / Department spaces @ 339 square feet per space = 30,510 square feet.
- 3. 75 employee spaces @ 339 square feet per space = 25,425 square feet.
- 4. 6 oversize spaces @ 425 square feet per space = 2,550 square feet.
- 5. 2 SWAT & 6 trailers @ 339 square feet per space = 2,712 square feet.
- 6. Area for emergency generator & tank = 275 square feet.
- 7. Oversize Evidence storage = 929 square feet.
- 8. File storage building = 820 square feet.

The footprint of the parking would be approximately 67,289 square feet.

• Future Expansion

- 1. Long Term Evidence Storage Building & Parking = 10,724 square feet.
- 2. Building expansion by 10% = 4,756 square feet / 2 stories = 2,378 square feet.
- 3. Parking lot expansion by 10% = 6,729 square feet.

The footprint for expansion would be 19,831 square feet.

Total Land Required for New Police Central Facility plus minimum future expansion

2.5 acres

- A Larger site is preferable for the following reasons;
 - 1. It would allow for design versatility, such as a Community Room, a new Emergency Operations Center, floor layouts, additional facilities, and the combining of operations.
 - 2. Allowing future expansion of the police station, parking and additional facilities for many years.



SITE CRITERIA — Part Two

The following is a list of basic requirements for the selection of a site:

Vacant Site versus Occupied Site

- 1. A vacant site, (not developed), would be the first choice for the following reasons;
 - a. The cost of demolition of existing buildings or residences.
 - b. The cost of relocation of existing buildings, residences, & businesses.
 - c. The cost of lost revenue for business.
 - d. The possibility of environmental concerns.
 - e. The possibility of Historic designation of a building.
- 2. An occupied site could have the following advantages if they exist and will work with the needs program;
 - a. The reuse of an existing building.
 - b. the reuse of existing parking.
 - c. The reuse of existing utilities.

Access

- 1. The site must have a minimum of two access points.
- 2. At least one access point on a major road.
- 3. Preferably only secondary access on a residential road or not at all.
- 4. Preferably at least one signal at one access point.

Visibility

1. Site should be on or visible from a major road.

Adjacent to Railroad Tracks

- 1. Location next to railroad track is unacceptable for the following reasons;
 - a. Noise.
 - b. Ability to respond.
 - c. The possibility of environmental concerns.
 - d. The possibility of the tracks being blocked and restricting access.

• Location

- 1. Centrally located for access of the citizens of Merced both now and in 2035.
- 2. Preferably not located adjacent to residential.
- 3. Preferably located in a Multifamily or Commercial zone.
- 4. Centrally located for easy access to the Civic Center, Courts & DA's office.



SITE CRITERIA — Part Three

The following is a list of basic requirements for the selection of a site:

Sites with better uses

- 1. Some sites have been identified for better uses such as:
 - a. Commercial development that would bring in revenue to the City.
 - b. Senior Care facility.
 - c. Reuse of a commercial building like the hospital, grocery store, or business.

Cost

- 1. The city has \$2,000,000.00 on hand to purchase the property;
 - a. Sites that would require additional funds would have to be put off to a future date.
 - b. Sites under the \$2,000,000.00 would allow for further design and environmental work to be done.
 - c. Sites over the \$2,000,000.00 that are multiple acquisitions could be started and then completed at a later time.
 - d. If a site was chosen that is over the \$2,700,000.00 on hand, the City could look at ways to acquire additional funding.

Traffic Congestion

- 1. Traffic Congestion is a big concern for the location of a new Central Police Station for the following reasons;
 - a. Accessibility to the site.
 - b. Ability to respond to an emergency.
 - c. The possibility of accidents, both police & civilian.
 - d. In a disaster, the ability to become responsive to any situation.

Ability to Acquire the Property

- 1. Is the seller willing to sell the property?
- 2. Will the City have to go to condemnation?
- 3. What will the Public response be to the site?



SITE CRITERIA — Part Four

The following is a list of basic requirements for the selection of a site:

• Smaller than minimum sites;

- 1. A Smaller sites would require either a parking structure or parking under the building, which would be a significant increase in cost. The last garage we built in Merced was approximately \$30,000.00 per parking space. Estimated cost 5-6 million dollars.
- 2. A Smaller site would require the building to go upward, three to four stories instead of two. This would increase costs, loss of efficiency to the circulation, and increase in square footage because of redundancy of items. (Like corridors, elevators, bathrooms, janitors closets, storage, mechanical chases, ect.)
- 3. The future expansion of both building and parking would not be possible. This was the most important item, according to the police facilities built in the last 10 years, that needs to be planned for. This would put the facility, if built on a smaller site, in the same position we are in today 20 to 30 years down the line.
- 4. A smaller site would not allow for design flexibility in design for adding a community room, a new Emergency Operations center, long term evidence storage, storage & file storage building, additional services and reduction in services.
- 5. A smaller site would require reducing the number of public and secure parking spaces or both or allow for any expansion of parking spaces as the Police Department grows.



SITE CRITERIA—Ranking Data

The following is a list of recommendations to judge sites on plus or minus points based on a scale of 1-5 OR 1-10:

• Property Size

- (+) 1. Minimum 2.5 acres includes building and parking.
- (+) 2. Larger site would allow for future expansion, relocation of long-term evidence and additional parking.
- (-) 3. Smaller site would require the building to expand vertically, with the possibility of parking under the building. This would add cost to construction but could save on land costs.
- (+) 4. Site will be a police facility only, additional land may be used for other City needs.

· Vacant land vs. Occupied land

- (-) 1. Occupied land would have some or all of the following costs;
 - a. Demolition of structures, parking and utilities.
 - b. Environmental review, concerns, especially previous commercial use.
 - c. Cost of relocation of residences or businesses.
 - d. Cost of loss of business income.
 - e. Relocation of historic buildings.
- (+) 2. Occupied land would have some or all of the following cost savings;
 - a. Use of existing structures, parking, and utilities.
- (-) 3. Vacant Land would have some or all of the following costs;
 - a. Construction of utilities, roads and frontage improvements.
 - b. Environmental review.

Accessibility

- (+) 1. Site shall have a minimum of 2 access points.
- (+) 2. Site shall be located adjacent or close to a major roadway.
- (+) 3. Signalized intersection preferred, or able to be added.

Location

- (+) 1. Site should be centrally located in Merced for easy access to the public.
- (+,-) 2. Site should not be adjacent to any railroad tracks.
- (+,-) 3. Site should not be adjacent to residential.
 - (+) 4. Site should be in Multi-Family or Commercial Zone.
 - (+) 5. Site should be conveniently located for access to Civic Center, Courthouse and DA's office

• Better use of Property

- (-) 1. Sites that would be better for the City to have as a location for new businesses to occupy.
- (-) 2. Sites that are not contiguous.

Costs

(+,-) 1. Base line for site cost is \$1,500,000.00 for a 2.5 acre parcel.

• Traffic Congestion

- (+,-) 1. Amount of traffic exceeds design street configuration at peak times.
- (+,-) 2. Major route and access is on a local street.

Project No. 108090											
SITE ANALYSIS		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	nga syaya								
Cocation	Acres	Area	Size	Size Demolition Relocation Cost Stories Parking Area				ing Arms	Future	Remarks	
LACERUM	Approx	Approx	Approx	Pauvoireou	PORTOCATION	Approx	OIVING	Perking Area		Expan	Matherine
Recommended	1										
Yosemite Avenue &	9.14	363,134 BF	660 X 604	No	No	3.2 MA	1	198,000	Regulred	Yes	Expansion Possible
Mansionette	(4,85)	(194,300 SF)	(226 X 604)			1.7 MB	25,000	368,138 290,138	Provided Difference	<u> </u>	Possibility of Buying 1/2 like
Mondows Avenue &	6.0	258,700 SF	645 x 490	No	No	2.76 Mil		104,046	Required	Yes	Possibility of Selling or Using 1/2 of Site
Loughberough Drive							80,000	296,700 188,700	Provided Difference	<u> </u>	1/2 of 880s
"N" Street L	21	87,760 SF	200 x 312 +	Yes	Yes	4.8 MA	-,-	64,000	Required	Yes	Small Expension Possible
Main Street Benk Of America			150 x 160				16,660	87,700 22,700	Provided Ofference		2 Commercial Relocations
L						1 Mil R	25,000				
Exhibing Site 22nd Street & "M" Street	1,63	45,000 SF	300 x 150	Yes	Yes		3 18,680	64,500 48,600	Provided	No.	No Additional Property Available
"M" Street								-19,000	Difference		
Not Recommended	\		·	<u> </u>			L		······································	1	
18th Street &	1.63	48,900 BF	300 x 150	Yes	Yes	1.83 Mill 3 Mill R	4.1	84,800 45,800	Required Provided	No	3 Residents)*(Com Relocations
"N" Street Extra Col	0,34	16,000 SF	100 x 150	No	No	\$400,000	16,860	16,600	FIDNOSA		
Phus Extra Lot	0.34	15,000 SF	100 x 150	Yes	Yes	\$400,000		4,000	Diffe rence	No	2 Residents/2Com Relocations • Historic Building / Move?
Remaining Lots	1,63	44,000 8#	300 x 950	Yes	Yes	246				Yes	Small Expension Possible
Younds Avenue &	8,94	140,000 SF	843 x 234	No	No	1.06 Mil	9K,000	64,000	Required	Yes	Expansion Possible
R Street							60,000	148,800 84,000	Provided Difference		
Yesemita Avenue &	6.13	223,720 SF	376 X 596	No	No	2.3 MH		61,000	Required	Yes	Expansion Postible
O Street			7:10				25,600	223,720	Provided Difference		
		200 212 22	504 - 5 ²²		4-			100,720			Lineit of beliding/parking design
Olive Avenue & 7G^ Street	6.5	177,000 SF	300 x 504	Yes	Kb .	2.3 (4)	80,000	198,008	Regulant Provided	Yes	Linux or beliang/parking design
"Altertrone"								66,040	Difference		
Maigreen's Bits	4.0			Yes	Yes						
Park Avenue	2.8	121,968 SF	193 x 450 x 344	No	No	\$978,000	25,900	64,000 121,008	Required Provided	Yes	Sme'l Expansion Possible
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								57,948	Ofference		
Main Street	23	112,500 SF	490 x 100 +	Yes	Yes	2.85 MH	3	\$4,060	Required Provided	Yes	Small Expansion Posetble
Main & G			175 x 180 + 178 x 180			2.0 MR R	25,000	121,068 87,988	Provided Difference		4 Empty Commercial 4 Commercial Pelocations
30th Street &	1,27	60,000 SF	160 ± 400	Yes	Yes	3.1 Mil	4	84,800	Required	No	
Carlos							16,658	-4,000	Provided Difference		
19th Street &	3.0	120,800 BF	780 x 170 +	Yes	No	-		64,000	Preguired	Yes	Smell Expension Possible
MLK Way			690 x 80 +				80,900	120,500	Provided		
502.50								99,690	Ofference		Carall Barrelon Barrelon
18th Pirent & MLK Way	4.37	190,357 BF	400 x 160 + BMC 3 AC	Yes	Yes	3.5 MH 1.0 MR	28,000	114,000	Required Provided	Yes	Small Expension Possible * Redevelopment / Commercial
								\$9,900	Difference		* Additional Public Parking
Main Street S Main & 1	2.32	101,200 SF	350 x 160 + 236 x 160 + 160 x 160	Yes	Yes	2.05 MM R	25,000	101,250	Regulard Provided	Yes	itmail (spension Possitio 4 Empty Compuncial
14.00 to			100 x 140					37,250	Difference		4 Commercial Relocations
14th Birest & "O"	2.94	128,000 SF	329 X 400	Yes	Yes	3.5 MB R	28,000	100,000 128,000	Provided	Yee	Small Expension Possible 9 Residents/1/Com Relocations
54								20,000	Difference		1 Empty Realdence
27th Street &	2.9	128,000 BF	320 x 400	Yes		25.0 MH		64,000	Required	Yes	Small Expansion Possible
"M" Street "Mercy Hospital"				No			\$9,000	126,000 84,000	Provided Ofference	Yes/Yes	
Highway 88 &	2.7	132,400 SF	682 x 200 x	Yes		1.7 Mi		102,000	Required		Expansion Possible
Geoper (ACC)			542	Yes			50,900	132,400	Provided Difference	Yes/Yes	
Pareons Avenue &	3.2	124,000 BF	344 x 381	Yes		2.1 MH		64,000	Required	Yes	Brnail Expansion Possible
Pareons Avenue & Childs Avenue				No			80,000	124,000 90,000	Provided Difference	Yes/Yes	
(8th Street &	1,86	68,800 SF	400 x 170	No		\$748,000		64,600	Required	No	
Canal		- PARTY OF		No No		31777	60,000	88,000	Provided Difference	- 77	
								4,800			
13th Birest & "O" Street	1,34	48,000 SF	480 x 126	Yes No		2.07 M2	16,860	64,000 00,000	Required Provided	No	
30 A								-4,900	Difference		
Mari Street &	2.18	93,940 SF	173 x 193 185x 335	Yes Yes		1,63 MH	28,000	84,000 83,980	Required Provided	Yea/Yes	Small Expansion Pessible
								29,930	Difference		
23rd Street &	2.04	117,000 SF	160 X 780	Yes		\$950,000	2	64,000	Required		Smail Expansion Possible
"N" Street				Yes			32,000	417,000 #3,000	Provided Ofference	Yes/Yes	



SITE ELIMINATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

These sites are recommended for the following reasons:

Yosemite and Mansionette Drive

- 1. Site is 4.55 acres, minimum required site is 2.5 acres.
- Site has never been developed, no existing structures or environmental concerns, no demolition, loss of income or relocation costs.
- 3. Site has a existing signal at the corner of Mansionette and Yosemite.
- 4. Site has a future street planned on the west side of the property.
- 5. Cost is reasonable, and within the existing funds available..
- 6. Relocation of long-term storage facility is possible.
- 7. Future expansion of building, parking and additional structures is possible.
- 8. This site offers the flexibility to expand both the building and parking for many years into the future, allowing both the City and the Police Department the luxury of not having to seek other sites or move departments in the future..
 - 9. The expansion of Yosemite Avenue to four lanes is in the planning for the future.
 - 10. Campus Parkway will connect to Yosemite Avenue in the future.
 - 11. Parsons Avenue is projected to become a north / south connected route.
 - 12. Compatible usages adjacent to the property.
 - 13. Site will be centrally located as Merced grows to the North.
 - 14. Site will have three access points.
 - 15. Site is located on a main thoroughfare.
 - 16. The site will allow for flexibility in design including the possibility of a new Emergency Operations Center and Community Room.

• Meadows Avenue and Loughborough Drive

- 1. Site is 6.9 acres, minimum required site is 2.5 acres.
- 2. Site has never been developed, no existing structures or environmental concerns, no demolition, loss of income or relocation costs.
- 3. Site has access to existing signals at "R" and Loughborough and Olive and Meadows.
- 4. Cost is reasonable.
- 5. Relocation of long-term storage facility is possible.
- 6. Future expansion of building, parking and additional structures is possible.
- 7. Site will be centrally located as Merced grows to the North.
- 8. Site will have two access points.
- Site is located close to a major thoroughfare.
- 10. This site offers the flexibility to expand both the building and parking for many years into the future, allowing both the City and the Police Department the luxury of not having to seek other sites or move departments in the future..
- 11. The site will allow for flexibility in design including the possibility of a new Emergency Operations Center and Community Room.