## The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

### Application: Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map #1334 – Environmental Review#24-39

Assessor Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 061-710-001

Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference: SCH #94062048 for Environmental Impact Report for the Plium-Sutter-Vierra Properties.

Original Project Date: the Merced City Council approved The Environmental Impact Report in February 1995.

## Section A - Previous Studies


1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?


Comment/Finding: No changes are proposed in the project that would require major revisions of the previous project EIR; and no new significant environmental effects, substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects will occur as the result of this project.


2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Comment/Finding: There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the previous EIR. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects, and the area under consideration remains the same area previously evaluated.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been know with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)







Yes	No
	Χ

*Comment/Finding:* There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was adopted.

### Section B - New Information

- A)The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration.
- B)Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR.

C)Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

- D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.
- *Comment/Finding:* All previously identified mitigation measures will be enforced with this project including payment of Public Facility Impact Fees. Therefore, the resulting impacts are no greater than those previously analyzed, and the previously imposed mitigation measures remain sufficient to address all impacts from this project.

# On the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines:

- 1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
- 2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
- 3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
- 4. No further documentation is required.

Date: January 10, 2025 Prepared By:

Valeria Renteria, Associate Planner

