NOTICE OF	F EXEMPTION			
To:X	Office of Planning and P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, CA 95812 County Clerk County of Merced 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340		From: (Pul	City of Merced 678 West 18th St. Merced, CA 95340
Project Title:	CUP #25-00 Review #25-0	,	0 and MUP #25-001	7 (Environmental
Project Appli	cant: Cesar Perez			
Project Locat	ion (Specific): 1250	W. Cardella R	oad APN: 206-030	-017
Project Locat	ion - City: Merced	d Project	Location - County:	Merced
Description o	f Nature, Purpose, a	nd Beneficiari	es of Project:	
Name of Publ	ic Agency Approving	g Project:	City of Merced	
Name of Pers	on or Agency Carryi		et: Cesar Perez, on b ational, LLC, proper	
De Em Ca Sta	s: (check one) nisterial (Sec. 21080(b)) clared Emergency (Sec. tergency Project (Sec. 2 tegorical Exemption. Statutory Exemptions. Staneral Rule (Sec. 15061	21080(b)(3); 15 1080(b)(4); 152 tate Type and Se te Code Number	69(b)(c)); ection Number: <u>15183</u> :	
The project is	nges to the project that			s for the site. There are no ot evaluated as part of the
Lead Agency: Contact Perso	•		Area Code/Telepho	ne:(209) 385-6967
Signature:		Date:	<u>12-17-2025</u> Tir	tle: Associate Planner
X Signed by	Lead Agency	Date Received (If applicable)		

Authority Cited: Sections 21083 and 21110. Public Resources Code Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1. Public Resources Code

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

Application: CUP #25-0018, SP #25-0010 and MUP #25-0017 - Environmental Review#25-0040

Assessor Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 206-030-017.

Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference: This site was previously reviewed through Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Bellevue Ranch Master Development Plan (SCH #9212055). The current proposal to construct a residential subdivision is consistent with the previous environmental review and the project remains in conformancewith the City's *Merced Vision 2030 General Plan*.

Original Project Date: The Environmental Impact Report was approved on May 15, 1995.

Section A - Previous Studies

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No	
	X	

Comment/Finding: The proposed project is consistent with the pervious environmental review. No substantive changes are proposed. The total number of units being constructed is less than originally proposed.

2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the previous EIR. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects, and the area under consideration remains the same area previously evaluated.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been know with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the pervious EIR was adopted.

Section B - New Information

	Section B - New Information			
A)The project will have of previous EIR or negation	one or more significant effects not discussed in the ve declaration.	Yes	No X	
B)Significant effects prevention shown in the prevention	viously examined will be substantially more severe ious EIR.	Yes	No X	
would in fact be feasib	r alternatives previously found not to be feasible le, and would substantially reduce one or more le project, but the project proponents decline to adopt or alternative.	Yes	No X	
those analyzed in the p	or alternatives which are considerably different from previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more ne environment, but the project proponents decline to easure or alternative.	Yes	No X	
inclu no g	previously identified mitigation measures will be eding payment of Public Facility Impact Fees. Therefor reater than those previously analyzed and the previous remain sufficient to address all impacts from this	e, the resultiously imp	ting impact	s are
On the basis of this evalu 15162 of the CEQA Guid	ation, in accordance with the requirements of Sect elines:	ion		
1. It is found that subse	equent negative declaration will need to be prepared.			
2. It is found that an ad	dendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.			
3. That a subsequent E	IR will need to be prepared.			
X 4. No further documen	tation is required.			
Date	e: April 17, 2024			
	pared By:			
Vale	eria Renteria,			

Associate Planner